

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 THE HA 04733 251245Z

43

ACTION EUR-10

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 NSC-07 NSCE-00 EURE-00 PM-03

DODE-00 L-02 OMB-01 SAM-01 SAJ-01 SP-02 CIAE-00

INR-11 NSAE-00 RSC-01 DRC-01 /055 W

----- 010485

P R 251153Z SEP 74

FM AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

TO USMISSION NATO PRIORITY

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4435

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

USNMR SHAPE

CINCEUR

CINCUSAFE

CSAF

OSAF

C O N F I D E N T I A L THE HAGUE 4733

LIMDIS/U.S. EYES ONLY

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3

TAGS: MASS NATO NL

SUBJECT: F104 REPLACEMENT: CENTRAL REGION'S FIGHTER

AIRCRAFT REQUIREMENT THROUGH 1980'S

REF: THE HAGUE 4659 (NOTAL)

1. DCM CALLED ON STATE SECRETARY FOR DEFENSE (MATERIEL)
STEMERDINK SEPT. 20 AT LATTER'S REQUEST. STEMERDINK
QUICKLY GOT TO THE POINT BY STATING THAT REPLACEMENT
FOR DUTCH F104S IS "NO PROBLEM" FOR GON AS FAR AS
AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS OR CO-PRODUCTION ARRANGEMENTS
ARE CONCERNED BUT IT IS A POLITICAL PROBLEM IN TERMS
OF DEFINING THE FUTURE MILITARY REQUIREMENT FOR
FIGHTER AIRCRAFT ON CENTRAL FRONT.

2. STEMERDINK EXPLAINED THAT HE AND MOD VREDELING

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 THE HA 04733 251245Z

ARE FACING DIFFICULT TASK IN WITHSTANDING PRESSURE

FROM RADICAL LEFT OF THEIR OWN (LABOR) PARTY FOR THE GON TO OPT FOR A LESS SOPHISTICATED AND EXPENSIVE REPLACEMENT AIRCRAFT THAN THE YF-16 OR 17 (SEE THE HAGUE 4676 FOR REPORT OF LABOR PARTY COUNCIL ACTIONS SEPT. 21 OPPOSING SELECTION OF US REPLACEMENT).

THESE PEOPLE QUESTION WHETHER THE RNLAF NEEDS SUCH AN EXPENSIVE PLANE AS THE COBRA OR THE YF-16.

THEREFORE WHAT THE SITUATION CALLS FOR IS A CLEAR STATEMENT BY TOP NATO AUTHORITIES THAT THE DUTCH REPLACEMENT FIGHTER MUST FULFILL ROLES AND POSSESS CHARACTERISTICS FOUND IN THE AMERICAN CANDIDATES BUT NOT IN A LESS EXPENSIVE, LESS SOPHISTICATED AIRCRAFT.

IN OTHER WORDS, IT MUST BE DOMONSTRATED TO THE ADVERSARIES OF THE AMERICAN AIRCRAFT THAT NEITHER ONE IS "TOO MUCH AIRPLANE" FOR THE NETHERLANDS. IF NATO CAN MAKE SUCH A STATEMENT, THERE WILL BE NO (POLITICAL) PROBLEM. OTHERWISE, THERE WOULD BE A PROBLEM AND GON WOULD BE FORCED TO RE-STUDY THE REPLACEMENT QUESTION.

3. COMMENT: SENIOR GON OFFICIALS CONCERNED WITH AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT ISSUE ARE AWARE THAT NATO HAD ALREADY SPECIFIED AT CONCLUSION OF ITS 1973 AIRCRAFT SPECIALIZATION STUDY THE REQUIREMENTS WHICH GON REPLACEMENT AIRCRAFT SHOULD MEET. DCM RECALLED THIS TO STEMERDINK, POINTING OUT THAT THOSE REQUIREMENTS WOULD MEAN AN AIRCRAFT WITH THE LIMITED AIR SUPERIORITY AND OTHER CAPABILITIES OF THE COBRA OR THE YF-16.

STEMERDINK DID NOT DEMUR BUT INDICATED THAT WHAT HE NEEDED WAS A CURRENT AUTHORITIATVE STATEMENT RE REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD BE EFFECTIVELY USED IN THE PARLIAMENTARY DOMAIN. IN A SEPT. 24 CONVERSATION, PRIME MINISTER DEN UYL'S FOREIGN POLICY AND DEFENSE ADVISER MERCKELBACK SAID THAT THERE COULD BE A PROBLEM IN PARLIAMENT, ESPECIALLY EMANATING FROM THE LABOR PARTY, AS TO WHETHER THE NETHERLANDS REALLY NEEDED THE KIND OF REPLACEMENT AIRCRAFT IT WAS CURRENTLY SHOPPING FOR.

4. ALTHOUGH STEMERDINK'S APPROACH MAY BE SOMEWHAT UNORTHODOX, WE PRESUME THAT HE USED THE EMBASSY CHANNEL
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 THE HA 04733 251245Z

BECAUSE HE DID NOT WANT LEFT-WING LABOR PARTY MEMBERS TO KNOW HOW HE IS HANDLING THE REPLACEMENT QUESTION. WHETHER HE COORDINATED BEFOREHAND WITH HIS OWN MILITARY IS DOUBTFUL BUT NOT REALLY OUR PROBLEM. IN VIEW OF THE SENSITIVITY, WE ARE NOT RPT NOT INFORMING OUR DUTCH MILITARY CONTACTS OF STEMERDINK'S REQUEST ALTHOUGH THEY MAY LEAVE OF IT THROUGH THEIR OWN CHANNELS. IN TERMS OF US INTEREST IT IS OF GREAT

IMPORTANCE, IN OUR JUDGEMENT, TO HELP STEMERDINK MEET HIS POLITICAL PROBLEM. WE LEAVE TO USNATO'S DISCRETION AND GOOD JUDGEMENT HOW BEST TO ELICIT THE STATEMENT DESIRED BY STEMERDINK. IT WOULD BE BEST TO AVOID MENTIONING HIM BY NAME AS THE SOURCE OF THE REQUEST. ONE POSSIBLE GAMBIT WOULD BE TO LET NATO AUTHORITIES KNOW OF THE QUESTIONS BEING RAISED IN THE DUTCH PARLIAMENT ABOUT THE REPLACEMENT FIGHTER AND REQUEST AN AUTHORITATIVE RESTATEMENT OF THE CONCLUSIONS OF THE 1973 AIR FORCE SPECIALIZATION SUBCOMMITTEE. NATO AUTHORITIES COULD BE TOLD THAT SUCH A STATEMENT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO THE GOIN IN CONVINCING PARLIAMENT AND DUTCH PUBLIC OPINION THAT THE NETHERLANDS DOES INDEED NEED A REPLACEMENT FIGHTER WITH THE CAPABILITIES OF THE COBRA OR YF-16.

GOULD

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MILITARY AIRCRAFT, JET AIRCRAFT, MILITARY PLANS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 25 SEP 1974
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974THEHA04733
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X3
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740270-0023
From: THE HAGUE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740913/aaaaalcn.tel
Line Count: 131
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: THE HAGUE 4659 (NOTAL)
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 09 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 APR 2002 by cunninfx>; APPROVED <10 JUL 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: F104 REPLACEMENT: CENTRAL REGION'S FIGHTER AIRCRAFT REQUIREMENT THROUGH 1980'S
TAGS: MASS, NL, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005